

# UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 09/604,763 06/26/00 KATAYAMA 2185-0452P-8 **EXAMINER** HM22/0813 BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH LLP MELLS P 0 BOX 747 **ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER FALLS CHURCH VA 22040-0747 1619 DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or pr ceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trad marks

08/13/01

	Appl	ication No.		Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		09/604,763		KATAYAMA ET AL.	
		Examiner		Art Unit	
		en Q Wells		1619	
The MAILING DATE of this communic			t with the c		idress
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOTHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30).  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum state.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply within the set or extend	CATION.  f 37 CFR 1.136(a). In inication.  d days, a reply within the utory period will apply will, by statute, cause the	no event, however, no he statutory minimum and will expire SIX (6 he application to beco	may a reply be times of thirty (30) days  MONTHS from the open capacity is a second capacity in the capacity is a second capacity in the capacity in the capacity is a second capacity in the capacity in the capacity is a second capacity in the capacity in the capacity in the capacity is a second capacity in the capaci	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1) Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <u>03 July 20</u>	<u>)01</u> .			
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2	.tb) ☐ This acti	on is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-10 and 12-17 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10 and 12-17</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	for foreign prior	:hdan 05	0.0 5.440/~	) (d) 27 (5)	
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:  1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo	or domestic prio	rity under 35 U	.S.C. § 119(	e) (to a provisiona	al application).
<ul> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> <li>15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P' 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Page 1			tice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No Patent Application (P <sup>-</sup>	
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office				0-1	of Paper No. 5

### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Response to Applicant's Arguments/Amendment

The Applicant's arguments filed July 3, 2001 (Paper No. 4) to the rejection of claims 1-10 and 12-17 made by the Examiner under 35 USC 102, 103, and 112 have been fully considered and deemed not persuasive.

## Specification

The amendment filed July 3, 2001 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132 because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132 states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows: Claim 1, line 1, the term "nonpolymerized".

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-10 and 12-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. A nonpolymerized dimerdial ester is not disclosed in the whole of the specification. Pages 4-6 were pointed out to the Examiner as support for a nonpolymerized dimerdial ester, but pages 4-6 contain no such support. Pages 4-6 merely recite the mono and dicarboxylic acids that can be used in the invention.

Art Unit: 1619

#### 102 Rejection Maintained

The rejection of claims 1-3 and 10 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being unpatentable over Kigawa (5,798,434) is MAINTAINED for the reasons set forth in the Office Action mailed April 3, 2001, Paper No. 3, and those found below.

Applicant argues that "monomer mixture disclosed in Kigawa is to be polymerized for producing a polymer for plastic lenses. . the dimerdiol ester of the present invention is not to be polymerized". This argument is not persuasive. First, this argument is moot based on new grounds of rejection. Second, even if the term "nonpolymerized" was not new matter, Kigawa discloses and claims a *monomer* mixture, thus rendering the instant claims 1-3 and 10 anticipated.

Applicant further argues that "Kigawa does not describe or suggest use of the monomer mixture as cosmetics or the like". This argument is not persuasive, as it is not commensurate in scope with independent claim 1, which is directed to an oil material composition, with no mention of cosmetics or cosmetic properties.

#### 103 Rejection Maintained

The rejection of claims 4-9 and 13- 17 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ansmann et al. (5,795,978) in view of Harmann et al. (5,739,190), Akrongold et al. (3,846,550), and Bernhardt et al. (4,788,054), in further view of Clum et al. (5,652,263) is MAINTAINED for the reasons set forth in the Office Action mailed April 3, 2001, Paper No. 3, and those found below

In response to applicant's argument that "the instant invention yields superior results", the fact that applicant has recognized another advantage which would flow naturally from following

'Application/Control Number: 09/604,763

Art Unit: 1619

763 Page 4

the suggestion of the prior art cannot be the basis for patentability when the differences would otherwise be obvious. See *Ex parte Obiaya*, 227 USPQ 58, 60 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1985).

#### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lauren Q Wells whose telephone number is (703) 305-1878. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7-4:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Diana L Dudash can be reached on (703) 308-2328. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-4556 for regular communications and (703) 308-4556 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1234.

DAMERON L JONES
PREMARY EXAMINER

· Application/Control Number: 09/604,763

Art Unit: 1619

Page 5

lqw July 20, 2001